Diacidene, a Polyene Dicarboxylic Acid from a *Micromonospora* Isolate from the German Wadden Sea
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*Micromonospora* sp. strain DB620 was isolated from a Wadden Sea sediment sample collected near Büsum (Germany) and is closely related (99% 16S-rRNA gene sequence similarity) to *Micromonospora coxensis* strain MTCC8093. It produced a new polyene dicarboxylic acid named diacidene (1) and in addition a derivative of chorismic acid, the known 3-[(1-carboxyvinyl)oxy]benzoic acid. The structure elucidation of 1 was achieved by applying different 1D and 2D NMR techniques as well as mass spectrometry and UV spectroscopy.
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**Introduction**

Actinomycetes as producers of secondary metabolites remain to be important, even after many decades of active research. Over the years, natural product research focussing on the genus *Streptomyces* has provided some of the most effective and well established antibiotics, such as erythromycin and tetracycline. Among the actinomycetes, the genus *Streptomyces* is responsible for the major part of described structures (Bérdy, 2005). However, other genera like *Micromonospora* and *Nocardia* are catching up (Bérdy, 2005), and the marine *Salinispora tropica* CNB-392 (Micromonosporaceae) is the protagonist in one of the recent success stories in natural product research. It is the producer of the proteasome inhibitor salinosporamide which advanced to clinical trials in absolute record time (Fenical et al., 2009). Thus, the current status of natural product research strongly supports further investigations on secondary metabolites of actinomycetes.

Marine sediments have proven a profitable habitat for the isolation of high numbers of *Micromonosporaceae* (Bredholt et al., 2008; Maldonado et al., 2008; Prieto-Davó et al., 2008). Our isolate, *Micromonospora* strain DB620, originated from the German Wadden Sea, a unique ecosystem characterized by large areas of tidal flats. In the course of our screening for natural products, this strain was found to produce diacidene (1), a new polyene dicarboxylic acid (Fig. 1).

**Results and Discussion**

The substrate mycelium of the strain DB620 had an orange colour. Neither an aerial mycelium nor a soluble pigment was produced. These features fit in well with the description of *Micromonospora coxensis* (MTCC8093) (Ara and Kudo, 2007) to which DB620 showed the highest similarity in 16S-rRNA gene sequence analysis (99%). The genus *Micromonospora* is characterized by and named after the production of single, small spores which are borne on sporophores branched from substrate hyphae. More specifically, the spores of *M. coxensis* are described to be small, with a dia-
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**Diacidene (1)**

![Chemical structure of diacidene (1)](image1)

**3-[(1-Carboxyvinyl)oxy]benzoic acid**

![Chemical structure of 3-[(1-carboxyvinyl)oxy]benzoic acid](image2)

**Fig. 1. Chemical structures of diacidene (1) and 3-[(1-carboxyvinyl)oxy]benzoic acid.**
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meter in the range between 0.5 and 0.8 µm, and a nodular to warty surface (Ara and Kudo, 2007). These characteristics are congruent with those of strain DB620, too (Fig. 2).

In the chemical screening, DB620 stood out because of the production of a compound with a molecular mass of 272 g/mol, which could not be identified by a database research (Antimarin, Dictionary of Natural Products) (Buckingham, 2010; Blunt et al., 2006). Scaling up and isolation of the produced metabolites enabled us to characterize two compounds. We identified the known compound 3-[(1-carboxyvinyl)oxy]benzoic acid (Fig. 1), a derivative of chorismic acid, by 1H NMR and UV data which corresponded well to those described in the literature (Mattia and Ganem, 1994; Ife et al., 1976). The second compound was found to be new, and the structure elucidation showed the molecule to consist of a phenyl ring, a polyene chain, and two carboxylic acid substructures. Hence we named it “diacidene” (1).

The molecular formula C_{16}H_{16}O_{4} was determined by high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRESIMS): 273.1136 [M+H]+ measured, 273.1121 calculated for C_{16}H_{17}O_{4}. The strong absorbance with UV maxima at 205, 243, and 336 nm already indicated the presence of an extended π-electron system and was in good accordance with a benzene ring conjugated with three double bonds. The structure of 1 was elucidated by analysis of 1D (1H, 13C and DEPT) and 2D NMR (HSQC, COSY and HMBC) spectra (Table I, Fig. 3). Some structural features could already be deduced from the 1H NMR spectrum, which clearly showed the presence of two neighbouring methylene groups, CH_{2}-14 (δ_c 29.4 ppm, δ_H 3.03 ppm) and CH_{2}-15 (δ_c 36.4 ppm, δ_H 2.52 ppm), whose coupling became evident from the respective signal splitting patterns and the coupling constant. No further methylene group, no methyl group, and no sp³-hybridized methine group were detected. All signals, apart from the two mentioned methylene groups, belonged to aromatic and olefinic protons. The signals of the 13C NMR spectrum were in good accordance with the molecular formula and the information obtained from the 1H NMR spectrum. Consistently, the 13C NMR spectrum displayed 16 distinct carbon signals including two belonging to aliphatic methylene groups (C-14 and C-15), two carbonyl carbon atoms (C-1, δ_c 170.6 ppm, and C-16, δ_c 176.5 ppm), and twelve olefinic carbon atoms (C-2, δ_c 122.0 ppm, to C-13, δ_c 140.1 ppm), two of which were quaternary (C-8, δ_c 136.5 ppm, and C-13, δ_c 140.1 ppm). By the 1H-13C HSQC spectrum it was possible to assign the proton signals to the signals of their directly bound carbon atoms. On top of that, the 1H-1H COSY spectrum confirmed the presence of three separated spin systems which could already be deduced from the 1H NMR spectrum. Apart from the one consisting of CH_{2}-14 and CH_{2}-15, there was one spin system which included the four aromatic protons H-9 (δ_H 7.60 ppm) to H-12 (δ_H 7.22 ppm) and one which comprised the olefinic protons H-2 (δ_H 5.92 ppm) to H-7 (δ_H 7.11 ppm). The protons H-2 to H-7 obviously formed three conjugated double bonds, Δ²,Δ⁴, and Δ⁶. The corresponding proton signals showed the expected splitting patterns, and the coupling constants of approximately 15 Hz proved the three double bonds all to be E-configured. The protons belonging to the first double bond Δ² both showed 1H-13C HMBC correlations to the carbonyl carbon atom C-1 (δ_c 170.6 ppm) which proved that the chain terminated with a carboxy group. H-7 on the other side of the olefinic side chain had long range couplings to the aromatic carbon atoms C-8 (δ_c 136.5 ppm), C-9 (δ_c 126.8 ppm), and C-13 (δ_c 140.1 ppm), thus giving evidence of the side chain being connected to the aromatic moiety. The phenyl ring was constructed of the carbon atoms C-8 to C-13 including the quaternary carbon atom which connected the olefinic side chain to the ring (C-8), the aromatic methine groups CH-9 (δ_c 126.8 ppm) to CH-12 (δ_c 130.8 ppm), and a second quaternary

Fig. 2. Scanning electron microscopic photograph of Micromonospora strain DB620.
carbon atom C-13 which connected CH$_2$-14 to the ring. The latter connection was confirmed by the $^1$H-$^1$C HMBC correlations of H$_2$-14 to C-8, C-12, and C-13. Thus, the ortho substitution of the aromatic ring could be deduced from the long-range couplings from the side chains to the ring and were further supported by the coupling of the aromatic methine groups. Finally, both H$_2$-14 and H$_2$-15 showed $^1$H-$^1$C HMBC correlations to the carbonyl carbon atom C-16 ($\delta_C$ 176.5 ppm) which proved the second side chain to terminate with a carboxy group, too (Fig. 3). Thus, the structure of the molecule was unequivocally proven.

1 is related to the Streptomycetes metabolites serpentemycins (Wenzel and Bode, 2004) and the monocarboxylic acid derivative serpentene (Ritzau et al., 1993). Serpentene was only weakly antibiotic against Bacillus subtilis, whereas the serpentemycins have been patented due to their strong inhibitory activity in a glycosyltransferase assay (Wink et al., 2004). Due to this information we anticipated diacidene to be active as well, yet no antimicrobial activity could be determined against a selection of bacteria and one yeast (data not shown).

The structure of 1 shows some unusual features, specifically the ortho-substituted phenyl ring without an oxygen substituent and the termination of both side chains with carboxy groups. These unusual structural features raise interesting questions concerning the biosynthesis of the compound, questions which have already been addressed for the related serpentene (Ritzau et al., 1993), serpentemycins (Wenzel and Bode, 2004), and pseudorubrenoic acid A (Rickards and Skropeta, 2002). In all cases, there is no doubt that the compounds arise from the acetate metabolism, which has been proven for the serpentemycins by feeding experiments with labelled acetate (Wenzel and Bode, 2004). In analogy to serpentene and the serpentemycins, we propose 1 to be formed by the condensation of eight acetate-building blocks, followed by electrocyclization and $\omega$-oxidation (Fig. 4), even though we were not able to detect the monocarboxylic acid in the crude extracts. Rickards and Skropeta (2002) convincingly discussed the probability of an electrocyclization being the key process in the formation of the aromatic ring in pseudorubrenoic acid A. The authors argued that the absence of an oxygen functionality on or adjacent to the aromatic ring suggests a reaction which differs from the normal formation of aromatic rings in polyketide biosyn-
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Table I. NMR spectroscopic data of 1 in methanol-d$_4$ (500 MHz).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>$\delta_C$</th>
<th>$\delta_H$ (J in Hz)</th>
<th>COSY</th>
<th>HMBC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>170.6, C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>122.0, CH</td>
<td>5.92, d (15.3)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>146.4, CH</td>
<td>7.37, dd (15.3, 11.3)</td>
<td>2, 4</td>
<td>1, 2, 4, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>131.6, CH</td>
<td>6.55, dd (14.0, 11.3)</td>
<td>3, 5</td>
<td>2, 3, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>142.6, CH</td>
<td>6.88, dd (10.9, 14.0)</td>
<td>4, 6</td>
<td>3, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>131.0, CH</td>
<td>6.91, dd (10.9, 14.5)</td>
<td>5, 7</td>
<td>4, 5, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>134.7, CH</td>
<td>7.11, d (14.5)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4, 5, 8, 9, 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>136.5, C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>126.8, CH</td>
<td>7.60, m</td>
<td>10–12$^a$</td>
<td>7, 11, 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>127.9, CH</td>
<td>7.21, m$^a$</td>
<td>9, 11–12$^a$</td>
<td>8, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>129.5, CH</td>
<td>7.20, m$^a$</td>
<td>9, 10$^a$, 12$^a$</td>
<td>9, 12, 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>130.8, CH</td>
<td>7.22, m$^a$</td>
<td>9, 10–11$^a$</td>
<td>8, 10, 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>140.1, C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>29.4, CH$_2$</td>
<td>3.03, t (7.9)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8, 12, 13, 15, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>36.4, CH$_2$</td>
<td>2.52, t (7.9)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13, 14, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>176.5, C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Signals are overlapping.
thesis that involves an aldol condensation. All in all, the authors’ argumentation leading to the postulation of the presence of an electrocyclase enzyme is compelling, and we suggest a similar reaction in the biosynthesis of 1. However, proof of the existence of such an enzyme is still missing.

**Experimental**

**General experimental procedures**

The optical rotation was measured on a Perkin Elmer (Rodgau, Germany) model 241 polarimeter. UV spectra were obtained on a NanoVue (GE Healthcare, Freiburg, Germany) spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker (Karlsruhe, Germany) DRX500 spectrometer (500 and 125 MHz for \( ^1H \) and \( ^13C \) NMR, respectively), using the signals of the residual solvent protons and the solvent carbon atoms as internal references (\( \delta \_H \) 3.31 ppm and \( \delta \_C \) 49.0 ppm for methanol-\( d_4 \)). High-resolution mass spectra were acquired on a benchtop time-of-flight spectrometer (MicrOTOF-II; Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) with positive electrospray ionization. Analytical reversed phase HPLC-UV/MS experiments were performed using an Onyx Monolithic C\textsubscript{18} column (100 \times 3.00 mm) (Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany) applying an H\textsubscript{2}O (A)/MeCN (B) gradient with 0.1% HCOOH added to both solvents (gradient: 0 min 5% B, 4 min 60% B, 6 min 100% B; flow 2 mL/min) on a Merck Hitachi Elite LaChrom system (Darmstadt, Germany) coupled to an ESI-ion trap detector (Esquire 4000; Bruker Daltonics).

Preparative HPLC was carried out using either a LaPrep HPLC system with a P110 pump, a P311 UV detector, a Labocel vario-200 fraction collector (Labomatic Instruments, Allschwil, Switzerland), a Smartline 3900 autosampler, and a Phenomenex Gemini-NX 10 m C18 110 A AX (100 x 50 mm) column or a Merck Hitachi system consisting of an L-7150 pump, an L-2200 autosampler, an L-2450 diode array detector, and a Phenomenex Gemini C18 110A AXIA (100 x 21.20 mm) column.

**Organism**

Strain DB620 was isolated from a sediment sample taken at low tide from the German Wadden Sea near Büsum. The sample was taken from the top of the sediment, filled into a sterile 2-mL reaction tube, and processed within 24 h. The sample was dried at 50 °C prior to isolation of bacteria. The dry soil sample was pre-incubated in yeast extract medium at 50 °C for 1 h and then transferred to chitin medium (20 mg/L chitin, 10 mg/L sea salt) supplemented with cycloheximide (50 mg/L).

For taxonomical characterization, the DNA extraction was performed with the Qiagen (Hilden, Germany) DNeasy® tissue kit. The bacterial cell...
wall was digested with an enzymatic lysis buffer, containing 20 mg/mL lysozyme (Sigma Life Science, Munich, Germany). For amplification of the 16S-rRNA gene, the universal eubacterial primers 27F and 1492R\textsuperscript{10} (MWG Biotech AG, Ebersberg, Germany) and the DreamTaq\textsuperscript{TM} Green PCR Master Mix (Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) were used. Obtained sequences were compared with sequences in the EMBL nucleotide database available online at the European Bioinformatics Institute homepage using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (nucleotide BLAST) and the RDP-II Project homepage.

A well grown agar plate from strain DB620 was prepared by critical point drying and sputter-coated with gold/palladium. Micrographs were made with a Zeiss (Jena, Germany) DSM940 scanning electron microscope.

**Cultivation, extraction, and isolation**

For the production of \( \text{1} \), strain DB620 was grown in a medium consisting of 20 g glucose, 10 g tryptone from casein (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany), and 2 g calcium carbonate per liter deionized water. Fermentations were carried out for 13 d on a rotary shaker at 120 rpm and 28 °C. For the isolation of \( \text{1} \), a 10-L fermentation was harvested, and the fermentation broth was separated by centrifugation into culture filtrate and mycelium. The filtrate was applied onto an Amberlite XAD-16 column (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) and eluted with H\(_2\)O/EtOH (4:6). The eluate containing \( \text{1} \) was concentrated in vacuo, the aqueous residue was acidified with HCl to pH 4, and extracted with EtOAc. The organic extract was concentrated in vacuo, to give a crude extract of 0.7 g. This extract was fractionated by column chromatography on Sephadex LH-20 (GE Healthcare) (3 x 80 cm, MeOH). Fractions containing \( \text{1} \) were pooled and further purified by preparative RP-HPLC (Phenomenex Gemini C18 110A AXIA, 100 x 21.20 mm; Phenomenex) with CH\(_3\)CN and 0.1% HCOOH as solvents using linear gradient elution from 30% to 50% CH\(_3\)CN over 15 min at a flow rate of 15 mL/min and UV detection at 335 nm. This yielded 5 mg of \( \text{1} \).

**Diacidene (1):** Pale yellow, amorphous solid. – UV (MeOH): \( \lambda \text{max} \) (log ε) = 288 (3.30), 229 (4.02), 215 (4.04) nm. – 1H NMR: \( \delta = 7.79 \) (1H, ddd, \( J = 7.7, 1.0, 1.7 \) Hz, H-6), 7.62 (1H, dd, \( J = 2.6, 1.7 \) Hz, H-2), 7.46 (1H, dd, \( J = 7.7, 8.4 \) Hz, H-5), 7.25 (1H, ddd, \( J = 8.4, 2.6, 1.0 \) Hz, H-4), 5.84 (1H, d, \( J = 2.0 \) Hz, H-3’a), 5.10 (1H, d, \( J = 2.0 \) Hz, H-3’b). – HRESIMS: \( m/z = 273.1127 \); calcd. for [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+} 273.1124 (C\(_{16}\)H\(_{17}\)O\(_{4}\)).

3-[(1-Carboxyvinyl)oxy]benzoic acid: Brownish, amorphous solid. – UV (MeOH): \( \lambda \text{max} \) (log ε) = 288 (3.30), 229 (4.02), 215 (4.04) nm. – 1D and 2D NMR: see Table I. – HRESIMS: \( m/z = 231.0271 \); calcd. for [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+} 231.0264 (C\(_{10}\)H\(_{8}\)O\(_{5}\)Na).

**Antimicrobial assays**

Antimicrobial assays were performed using *Bacillus subtilis* DSM 347, *Staphylococcus lentus* DSM 6672, *Xanthomonas campestris* DSM 2405, and *Candida albicans* DSM 1386. Overnight cultures of the test organisms in tryptic soy broth were diluted with medium to an optical density (at 600 nm) of 0.01–0.05. The assays were prepared by transferring 2.02 µL of a 10 mM solution (in DMSO) of the test compound and 200 µL of cell suspension culture into a well of a 96-well microtiter plate. The microtiter plates were incubated for 5 h at 37 °C (*B. subtilis*, S. *lentus*, and *C. albicans*) or 14–16 h at 28 °C (*X. campestris*) before 10 µL of a resazurin solution (0.2 mg/mL phosphate-buffered saline) were added to each well; the plates were incubated for another 5–30 min. To evaluate cell viability, the reduction of resazurin to resorufin was assessed by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm (reference 690 nm). The resulting values were compared with a positive (10 µM chloramphenicol for bacteria; 10 µM nystatin for the yeast) and a negative (no compound) control, respectively, on the same plate.
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