## N-tangle, Entangled Orthonormal Basis, and a Hierarchy of Spin Hamilton Operators

Willi-Hans Steeb and Yorick Hardy

International School for Scientific Computing, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa

Reprint requests to W.-H. S.; E-mail: steebwilli@gmail.com

Z. Naturforsch. **66a**, 615 – 619 (2011) / DOI: 10.5560/ZNA.2011-0025 Received April 30, 2010 / revised June 29, 2011

An N-tangle can be defined for the finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^{2^N}$ , with N=3 or N even. We give an orthonormal basis which is fully entangled with respect to this measure. We provide a spin Hamilton operator which has this entangled basis as normalized eigenvectors if N is even. From these normalized entangled states a Bell matrix is constructed and the cosine–sine decomposition is calculated. If N is odd the normalized eigenvectors can be entangled or unentangled depending on the parameters.

Key words: Entanglement; Spin Hamilton Operators; Orthonormal Basis; Cosine–Sine Decomposition.

Two level and higher level quantum systems and their physical realization have been studied by many authors (see [1] and references therein). We consider a spin Hamilton operator acting in the finite-dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^{2^N}$  and the normalized states

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{j_1,j_2,...,j_N=0}^{1} c_{j_1,j_2,...,j_N} |j_1\rangle \otimes |j_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |j_N\rangle$$

in this Hilbert space. Here  $|0\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle$  denotes the standard basis. Let  $\varepsilon_{jk}$  (j,k=0,1) be defined by  $\varepsilon_{00} = \varepsilon_{11} = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_{01} = 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_{10} = -1$ .

Let  $\sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_y$ ,  $\sigma_z$  be the Pauli spin matrices. We consider entanglement for the eigenvectors of the hierarchy of spin Hamilton operators

$$\hat{H}_{N} = \hbar\omega (\overbrace{\sigma_{z} \otimes \sigma_{z} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{z}}^{N-factors}) + \Delta_{1} (\overbrace{\sigma_{x} \otimes \sigma_{x} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{x}}^{N-factors}) \\
+ \Delta_{2} (\overbrace{\sigma_{y} \otimes \sigma_{y} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{y}}^{N-factors})$$

with  $N \ge 2$ . Here  $\otimes$  denotes the Kronecker product [2-4],  $\omega > 0$ ,  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \ge 0$ , and  $\sigma_x \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_y \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_y$ ,  $\sigma_z \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_z$  are elements of the Pauli group  $\mathcal{P}_N$ . The N-qubit Pauli group [5] is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_N := \{I_2, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z\}^{\otimes N} \otimes \{\pm 1, \pm i\},$$

where  $I_2$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix. The N-qubit Clifford group  $C_N$  is the normalizer of the Pauli group – a unitary matrix U acting on N-qubits is contained in  $C_N$  if

$$UMU^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_N$$
 for each  $M \in \mathcal{P}_N$ .

Thus the Hamilton operator  $\hat{H}_N$  acts in the finite-dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^{2^N}$ . The eigenvalue problem for the case with  $\Delta_2 = 0$  has been studied by Steeb and Hardy [6, 7].

Many authors developed methods for the detection and classification of entangled states in finite dimensional Hilbert spaces for mixed states and pure N-qubit states [8-23]. A pure N-partite state is separable if and only if all the reduced density matrices of the elementary subsystems describe pure states. In a bipartite case, separability can be determined by calculating the Schmidt decomposition of the state. The concept of the Schmidt decomposition cannot be straightforwardly generalized to the case of N separate subsystems [12]. Besides these two well-known methods, a separability condition based on comparing the amplitudes and phases of the components of the state has been presented. There are some other approaches to detect the separability of pure states [10, 11]. Here we select the entanglement measure given by Wong and Christensen [18]. The tangle of Wong and Christensen [18] can only be used to detect entanglement, since there are entangled states on which it vanishes. We note that the *W* state

$$|W\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle + |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle + |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle)$$

has vanishing Wong–Christensen tangle and yet is not separable. Dür et al. [19] showed that three qubits can be entangled in two inequivalent ways. Acín et al. [20] described the classification of mixed three-qubit states. Verstraete et al. [21] showed that four qubits can be entangled in nine different ways. Osterloh and Siewert [22] constructed *N*-qubit entanglement from antilinear operators. Entanglement witness in spin models has been studied by Tóth [23]. A symbolic C++ program to calculate the tangle of Wong and Christensen [18] has been given by Steeb and Hardy [24].

Let N be even or N = 3. Wong and Christensen [18] introduced an N-tangle by

$$\tau_{1...N} = 2 \left| \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N = 0 \\ \delta_1, \dots, \delta_N = 0}}^{1} c_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_N} c_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_N} c_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_N} c_{\delta_1 \dots \delta_N} \right|$$

$$\times \varepsilon_{\alpha_1\beta_1}\varepsilon_{\alpha_2\beta_2}\cdots\varepsilon_{\alpha_{N-1}\beta_{N-1}}\varepsilon_{\gamma_1\delta_1}\varepsilon_{\gamma_2\delta_2}\cdots$$

$$\left| \varepsilon_{\gamma_{N-1}\delta_{N-1}} \varepsilon_{\alpha_N \gamma_N} \varepsilon_{\beta_N \delta_N} \right|.$$

This includes the definition for the 3-tangle [8]. Let N=4. Consider the two states with  $c_{0000}=1/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $c_{1111}=1/\sqrt{2}$  (all other coefficients are 0), and  $c_{0000}=1/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $c_{1111}=-1/\sqrt{2}$  (all other coefficients are 0). Using this measure of entanglement, we find for both cases that the states are fully entangled, i.e.  $\tau_{1234}=1$ . Fourteen more states can be constructed with

$$c_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} = 1/\sqrt{2}, \qquad c_{\bar{j}_1 \bar{j}_2 \bar{j}_3 \bar{j}_4} = \pm 1/\sqrt{2},$$

where  $\bar{j}$  denotes the NOT-operation, i.e.  $\bar{0} = 1$  and  $\bar{1} = 0$ . These sixteen states form an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^{16}$ .

This result can be extended for  $N \ge 4$  and N even. The orthonormal basis would be given by

$$|\phi_{j_1...j_N}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|j_1\rangle \otimes |j_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |j_N\rangle \pm |\bar{j}_1\rangle \otimes |\bar{j}_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\bar{j}_N\rangle).$$

These states are also fully entangled using the measure given above. These states are also related to a Hamilton operator described below.

Let us now find the spectrum of  $\hat{H}_N$  and the unitary matrix  $U_N(t) = \exp(-i\hat{H}_N t/\hbar)$ . Since  $\operatorname{tr}\hat{H}_N = 0$  for all N, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^N} E_j = 0,$$

where  $E_j$  are the eigenvalues of  $\hat{H}_N$ . Consider the hermitian and unitary operators

$$\Sigma_{z,N} := \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_z, \ \Sigma_{x,N} := \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_x,$$

$$\Sigma_{v,N} := \sigma_v \otimes \sigma_v \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_v.$$

We have to distinguish between the case *N* even and the case *N* odd. If *N* is even then the commutators vanish, i.e.

$$[\Sigma_{x,N}, \Sigma_{y,N}] = 0, \ [\Sigma_{y,N}, \Sigma_{z,N}] = 0, \ [\Sigma_{z,N}, \Sigma_{x,N}] = 0.$$

If *N* is odd then the anti-commutators vanish, i.e.

$$[\Sigma_{z,N}, \Sigma_{x,N}]_+ = 0, \ [\Sigma_{z,N}, \Sigma_{x,N}]_+ = 0, \ [\Sigma_{z,N}, \Sigma_{x,N}]_+ = 0.$$

Note that  $\Sigma_{x,N}$ ,  $\Sigma_{y,N}$ , and  $\Sigma_{z,N}$  are elements of the Pauli group  $\mathcal{P}_N$  described above.

Thus setting  $\hat{H}_N = \hat{H}_{N0} + \hat{H}_{N1} + \hat{H}_{N2}$  with

$$\hat{H}_{N0} = \hbar \omega (\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_z),$$
  
 $\hat{H}_{N1} = \Delta_1 (\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_x),$   
 $\hat{H}_{N2} = \Delta_2 (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_y),$ 

we find that for N even, owing to the result given above,

$$[\hat{H}_{N0}, \hat{H}_{N1}] = 0$$
,  $[\hat{H}_{N1}, \hat{H}_{N2}] = 0$ ,  $[\hat{H}_{N0}, \hat{H}_{N2}] = 0$ .

Then the unitary operator  $U_N(t) = \exp(-i\hat{H}_N t/\hbar)$  for N even can easily be calculated since

$$U_N(t) = \exp(-i\hat{H}_{N0}t/\hbar)\exp(-i\hat{H}_{N1}t/\hbar)$$
$$\cdot \exp(-i\hat{H}_{N2}t/\hbar).$$

If N is odd, owing to the result given above, we have

$$[\hat{H}_{N0}, \hat{H}_{N1}]_{+} = 0, \ [\hat{H}_{N1}, \hat{H}_{N2}]_{+} = 0, \ [\hat{H}_{N0}, \hat{H}_{N2}]_{+} = 0.$$

Here too the time evolution  $U_N(t) = \exp(-i\hat{H}_N t/\hbar)$  can easily be calculated. We use the abbreviation

$$E:=\sqrt{\hbar^2\omega^2+\Delta_1^2+\Delta_2^2}.$$

Consider now the general cases. If N is odd the Hamilton operator has only two eigenvalues, namely E and -E. Both are  $2^{N-1}$  times degenerate. The unnormalized eigenvectors for +E are given by

$$egin{pmatrix} E+\hbar\omega & 0 & 0 & E-\hbar\omega & 0 \ 0 & \vdots & 0 & \vdots & 0 \ \Delta_1-(-i)^N\Delta_2 \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E-\hbar\omega & 0 & 0 \ \vdots & 0 & \Delta_1+(-i)^N\Delta_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \cdots, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vdots & 0 & E+\hbar\omega & \Delta_1-(-i)^N\Delta_2 & 0 & 0 \ \vdots & 0 & 0 & \vdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The unnormalized eigenvectors for -E are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} E - \hbar \omega \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -(\Delta_1 - (-i)^N \Delta_2) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ E - \hbar \omega \\ 0 \\ -(\Delta_1 - (-i)^N \Delta_2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ E - \hbar \omega \\ -(\Delta_1 - (-i)^N \Delta_2) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The normalization factors are

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{(E+\hbar\omega)^2+\Delta_1^2+\Delta_2^2}}, \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{(E-\hbar\omega)^2+\Delta_1^2+\Delta_2^2}}.$$

respectively. For N odd the time evolution is given by

$$\begin{split} U_N(t) &= \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t \Sigma_{z,N} - \mathrm{i}\Delta_1 t \Sigma_{x,N}/\hbar - \mathrm{i}\Delta_2 t \Sigma_{y,N}/\hbar} \\ &= I_{2^N} \cos(Et/\hbar) \\ &- \mathrm{i}\frac{\hbar \omega \Sigma_{z,N} + \Delta_1 \Sigma_{x,N} + \Delta_2 \Sigma_{y,N}}{E} \cdot \sin(Et/\hbar). \end{split}$$

For N even the four eigenvalues are given by

$$E_1 = \hbar\omega + \Delta_1 - \Delta_2, \ E_2 = -\hbar\omega - \Delta_1 + \Delta_2,$$
 
$$E_3 = -\hbar\omega + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2, \ E_4 = \hbar\omega - \Delta_1 - \Delta_2.$$
 The eigenvalues are  $2^{N-2}$  times degenerate. The cor-

The eigenvalues are  $2^{N-2}$  times degenerate. The corresponding  $2^N$  normalized eigenvectors for the case N even are

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\\vdots\\0\\0\\\pm 1 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\\vdots\\0\\\pm 1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\\vdots\\0\\1\\\pm 1\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

They do not depend on  $\Delta$  and  $\hbar\omega$ . The first vector is the Greenberger–Horne–Zeilinger (GHZ)-state. It is well-known that these  $2^N$  eigenvectors form an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^{2^N}$ . As described above we apply the entanglement measure given by Wong and Christensen. It follows that these states are fully entangled. These states can also be generated from the GHZ-state by applying the unitary matrix

$$I_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma_x \otimes I_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes I_2$$
,

where  $\sigma_x$  is at the *j*th position (j = 1,...,N). Since these are local unitaries all states have the same entanglement as the GHZ-state. Since for N even we have

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t \Sigma_{z,N}/\hbar} &= I_{2^N} \cos(\omega t) - \mathrm{i}\Sigma_{z,N} \sin(\omega t), \\ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\Delta_1 t \Sigma_{x,N}\hbar} &= I_{2^N} \cos(\Delta_1 t/\hbar) - \mathrm{i}\Sigma_{x,N} \sin(\Delta_1 t/\hbar), \\ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\Delta_2 t \Sigma_{y,N}/\hbar} &= I_{2^N} \cos(\Delta_2 t/\hbar) - \mathrm{i}\Sigma_{y,N} \sin(\Delta_2 t/\hbar), \end{split}$$

it follows that for N even the unitary operator  $U_N(t)$  for the time evolution is given by

$$e^{-i\hat{H}_{N}t/\hbar}$$

$$= e^{-i\omega t \Sigma_{z,N}} e^{-i\Delta_{1}t \Sigma_{x,N}/\hbar} e^{-i\Delta_{2}t \Sigma_{y,N}/\hbar}$$

$$= I_{2^{N}} \cos(\omega t) \cos(\Delta_{1}t/\hbar) \cos(\Delta_{2}t/\hbar)$$

$$- i\Sigma_{z,N} \sin(\omega t) \cos(\Delta_{1}t/\hbar) \cos(\Delta_{2}t/\hbar)$$

$$- i\Sigma_{x,N} \cos(\omega t) \sin(\Delta_{1}t/\hbar) \cos(\Delta_{2}t/\hbar)$$

$$\begin{split} &-\mathrm{i} \Sigma_{y,N} \cos(\omega t) \cos(\Delta_1 t/\hbar) \sin(\Delta_2 t/\hbar) \\ &- \Sigma_{z,N} \Sigma_{x,N} \sin(\omega t) \sin(\Delta_1 t/\hbar) \cos(\Delta_2 t/\hbar) \\ &- \Sigma_{z,N} \Sigma_{y,N} \sin(\omega t) \cos(\Delta_1 t/\hbar) \sin(\Delta_2 t/\hbar) \\ &- \Sigma_{x,N} \Sigma_{y,N} \cos(\omega t) \sin(\Delta_1 t/\hbar) \sin(\Delta_2 t/\hbar) \\ &+ \mathrm{i} \Sigma_{z,N} \Sigma_{x,N} \Sigma_{y,N} \sin(\omega t) \sin(\Delta_1 t/\hbar) \sin(\Delta_2 t/\hbar). \end{split}$$

For this basis we can form the  $2^N \times 2^N$  (N even) unitary matrix

For implementations of B as quantum gates the cosine—sine decomposition [2, 4] is useful. This matrix has the cosine—sine decomposition

- [1] D. Kielpinski, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 5, R121 (2003).
- [2] W.-H. Steeb, Problems and Solutions in Introductory and Advanced Matrix Calculus, World Scientific, Singapore 2006.
- [3] W.-H. Steeb and Y. Hardy, Problems and Solutions in Quantum Computing and Quantum Information, third edition, World Scientific, Singapore 2011.
- [4] W.-H. Steeb and Y. Hardy, Matrix Calculus and Kronecker Product, 2nd edition, World Scientific, Singapore 2011.
- [5] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2000.
- [6] W.-H. Steeb and Y. Hardy, Cent. Eur. J. Phys. 7, 854 (2009).
- [7] W.-H. Steeb, Problems and Solutions in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, third edition, Volume II: Advanced Level, World Scientific, Singapore 2009.
- [8] V. Coffman, J. Kundu, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A 61, 052306 (2000).
- [9] M. Seevinck and J. Uffink, Phys. Rev. A 78, 032101 (2008).

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & U_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C & S \\ -S & C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_3 & 0 \\ 0 & U_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the unitary matrices  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$ ,  $U_3$ ,  $U_4$  are given by

$$U_2 = I_{2^{N-1}}, \qquad U_4 = I_{2^{N-1}},$$

$$U_1 = U_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \cdots \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the invertible matrices C and S are the  $2^{N-1} \times 2^{N-1}$  matrices

$$C = S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} I_{2^{N-1}}$$
.

Thus the unitary matrices  $U_1$  and  $U_3$  are Kronecker products of the NOT-gate.

If N is odd then the eigenvectors are entangled if  $\hbar\omega = 0$ . If  $\hbar\omega \to \infty$  the eigenvectors become unentangled, i.e. can be written as product states.

We have provided a spin Hamilton operator acting in the finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^{2^N}$  that provides a fully entangled basis if N is even. If N is odd we vary the parameters  $\hbar\omega$ ,  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$  such that we can vary between entangled and unentangled states. Such Hamilton operators could also be investigated applying Riemannian geometry [25].

- [10] A. C. Doherty, P. A. Parrilo, and F. M. Spedalieri, Phys. Rev. A 71, 032333 (2005).
- [11] O. Gühne and G. Tóth, Phys. Rep. 474, 1 (2009).
- [12] A. Peres, Phys. Lett. A 202, 16 (1995).
- [13] A. V. Thapliyal, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 3336 (1999).
- [14] H. Matsueda and D. W. Cohen, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 46, 3169 (2007).
- [15] C.-S. Yu and H.-S. Song, Eur. Phys. J. D 42, 147 (2007).
- [16] G. Brassard and T. Mor, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34, 6807 (2001).
- [17] H. Mäkelä and A. Messina, Phys. Rev. A 81, 012326 (2010).
- [18] A. Wong and N. Christensen, Phys. Rev. A 63, 044301 (2001).
- [19] W. Dür, G. Vidal, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A 62, 062314 (2000).
- [20] A. Acín, D. Bruß, M. Lewenstein, and A. Sanpera, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 040401-1 (2001).
- [21] F. Verstraete, J. Dehaene, B. De Moor, and H. Verschelde, Phys. Rev. A 65, 052112 (2002).
- [22] A. Osterloh and J. Siewert, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 012337 (2005).

- [23] G. Tóth, Phys. Rev. A 71, 010301(R) (2005).
- [24] W.-H. Steeb and Y. Hardy, Quantum Mechanics using Computer Algebra, 2nd edition, World Scientific, Singapore 2010.
- [25] H. E. Brandt, Nonlin. Anal.: Theory, Methods and Applications **71**, e474 (2009).