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The complexes $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCOO}\right)_{2}\left(4,4^{\prime}\right.$-bpy $)(\mathbf{1})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right)\left(2,2^{\prime} \text {-bpy }\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]-$ $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (2) (bpy = bipyridine) were generated under mild reaction conditions and characterized by IR spectra, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), and single crystal X-ray diffraction. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ exhibits a two-dimensional network with octahedrally coordinated $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ atoms linked by $4,4^{\prime}$-bpy ligands and $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{-}$ligands. Compound $\mathbf{2}$ features a supramolecular structure of binuclear complexes, with edge-sharing five-coordinated square-pyramidal units bridged by the $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}^{-}$ligand, an $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$group and a water molecule. Complex $\mathbf{1}$ crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group Pbcn with cell parameters: $a=16.5390(17), b=11.6396(17), c=$ 9.9181(12) $\AA, V=1909.3(4) \AA^{3}, Z=4, w R 2=0.1576$. Complex 2 crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P \overline{1}$ with cell parameters: $a=7.6190(15), b=11.151(2), c=16.640(3) \AA, \alpha=73.13(3), \beta=$ 80.89(3), $\gamma=74.51(3)^{\circ}, V=1298.73(4) \AA^{3}, Z=2, w R 2=0.1265$.
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## Introduction

Transition metal carboxylate complexes have received extensive attention due to the wide application and various structural features of these compounds [1-3]. Besides biological chemistry [4] and catalysis [5-8], the study of exchange-coupling interactions between adjacent metal ions is of major interest in the field of magnetochemistry [9-12]. Ligands such as $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine ( $2,2^{\prime}$-bpy), 1,10 -phenanthroline (phen) and $4,4^{\prime}$-bipyridine ( $4,4^{\prime}$-bpy) have been widely used as substitutes for amino acid side groups in biomimetic chemistry and as rigid ligands for the construction of organometallic supramolecular complexes. The coordination chemistry of chloroacetic or trichloroacetic acid has also received a great deal of attention [13-19]. However, there are few structurally characterized metal chloroacetates with aromatic nitrogen-donor ligands [20]. Based on the above consideration, in this contribution we wish to report two ternary complexes, $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCOO}\right)_{2}$ -$\left(4,4^{\prime}\right.$-bpy $)(\mathbf{1})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right)\left(2,2^{\prime} \text {-bpy }\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OH})\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(2)$ (bpy = bipyridine). Compound 1 exhibits a 2D polymeric network with octahedrally coor-
dinated $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ atoms linked by $4,4^{\prime}$-bpy and $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{-}$ ligands; compound $\mathbf{2}$ features a supramolecular structure with dinuclear units featuring edge-sharing fivecoordinated square-pyramidal entities with $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{ClCH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{COO}^{-}, \mu_{2}-\mathrm{OH}^{-}$groups and $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bridges.

## Experimental Section

## Measurements of physical properties

All chemicals were reagent grade and were used without further purification. Elemental analysis was performed using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHNS/O analyzer. The infrared spectrum of KBr pellets in the range $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was recorded using a Nicolet AVATAR-370 spectrophotometer. The combined thermogravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TG/DSC) was carried out in the range $25-600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on powdered samples using an STA 409 PC analyzer with a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. X-Ray powder diffraction was performed using an X'Pert PRO DY2198 diffractometer.

## Preparation of $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCOO}\right)_{2}\left(4,4^{\prime}\right.$-bpy $)$ (1)

$\mathrm{MnCO}_{3}(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCOOH}(0.33 \mathrm{~g}$, 2.0 mmol ) were dissolved in a water-ethanol mixture ( $1: 1$ $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} ; 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 15 min at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{1}$ and 2.

| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{MnN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClCu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr | 535.86 | 691.98 |
| Crystal size, mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.4 \times 0.36 \times 0.30$ | $0.42 \times 0.40 \times 0.32$ |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic | triclinic |
| Space group | Pbcn | $P$ |
| $a, ~ \AA{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 16.5390(17) | 7.6190(15) |
| $b, \AA$ | 11.6396(17) | 11.151(2) |
| $c, \AA$ | 9.9181(12) | 16.640(3) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 90 | 73.13(3) |
| $\beta$, deg | 90 | 80.89(3) |
| $\gamma$, deg | 90 | 74.51(3) |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 1909.3(4) | 1298.73(4) |
| Z | 4 | 2 |
| $d_{\text {calcd }}, \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.864 | 1.77 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{Mo} K_{\alpha}\right), \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 1.555 | 1.809 |
| $F(000), \mathrm{e}$ | 1060 | 700 |
| $h k l$ range | $-21 \leq h \leq 21$ | $-9 \leq h \leq 9$ |
|  | $-15 \leq k \leq 13$ | $-14 \leq k \leq 14$ |
|  | $-12 \leq l \leq 13$ | $-21 \leq l \leq 21$ |
| $((\sin \theta) / \lambda)_{\max }, \AA^{-1}$ | 0.2829 | 0.0284 |
| Refl. measured | 16828 | 12691 |
| Refl. unique | 2230 | 5864 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.07625 | 0.021 |
| Param. refined | 126 | 370 |
| $R 1(F) / \mathrm{w} R 2\left(F^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> (all reflexions) | 0.078/0.186 | 0.057/0.137 |
| $A, B$ values for weighting scheme ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 0, 0 | 0.07, 1.8898 |
| $\mathrm{GoF}\left(F^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.836 | 1.083 |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {fin }}(\mathrm{max} / \mathrm{min}), \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ | 0.87/-0.94 | 1.07/-0.77 |

${ }^{\text {a }} R(F)=\Sigma| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}} \| / \Sigma\right| F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|, w R 2=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} ;$ b $w=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(\mathrm{A} P)^{2}+\mathrm{B} P\right]^{-1}$, with $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$; ${ }^{\text {c }} \operatorname{GoF}\left(F^{2}\right)=S=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] /(n-p)\right\}^{1 / 2}$, where $n$ is the number of reflexions and $p$ is the total number of parameters refined.
and then $4,4^{\prime}$-bpy ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was further stirred for another 1 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then filtered, and the resultant filtrate left to stand for slow evaporation at r.t. Colorless single crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ were obtained after a period of 30 d (yield $85 \%$ based on the initial $\mathrm{MnCO}_{3}$ input). $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{MnN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (535.86): calcd. C 31.38, H $1.50, \mathrm{~N} 5.23$; found C $31.41, \mathrm{H} 1.51$, N 5.19. - IR: $v=3063 \mathrm{~m}, 3007 \mathrm{~m}, 1965 \mathrm{w}, 1719 \mathrm{~s}, 1667 \mathrm{vs}, 1602 \mathrm{~s}, 1530 \mathrm{~m}$, $1487 \mathrm{~m}, 1418 \mathrm{~m}, 1354 \mathrm{ss}, 1221 \mathrm{~m}, 1100 \mathrm{w}, 1072 \mathrm{~m}, 1043 \mathrm{~m}$, $1003 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 942 \mathrm{w}, ~ 828 \mathrm{vs}, 726 \mathrm{~s}, 681 \mathrm{vs}, 629 \mathrm{~m}, 570 \mathrm{~m}, 534 \mathrm{~m}$, $467 \mathrm{w}, 431 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

Preparation of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right)\left(2,2^{\prime} \text {-bpy }\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (2)
$\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ $(0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in a water-ethanol mixture ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} ; 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 5 min at r.t. and then $2,2^{\prime}$-bpy ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was further stirred for another 1 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then filtered, and the resultant filtrate left to stand for

Table 2. Selected interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ) and bond angles (deg) for 1.

| Mn1-O1 | 2.144(3) | Mn1-N1 | 2.276(4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mn1-O1}{ }^{\text {\#1 }}$ | 2.144(3) | $\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{N} 2^{\# 4}$ | 2.277(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{O} 2^{\# 2}$ | 2.186(2) | $\mathrm{Mn} 1^{\# 5}$ - N 2 | 2.277(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{O} 2^{\# 3}$ | 2.186(2) | C7-O1 | 1.236(4) |
| $\mathrm{Mn1}{ }^{\# 3}-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 2.186(2) | C7-O2 | 1.230(4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\# 1}$ | 179.5(1) | $\mathrm{O} 2{ }^{\# 3}-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 85.48(6) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{O} 2^{\# 2}$ | 87.26(1) | $\mathrm{O} 2{ }^{\# 3}-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{N} 2^{\# 4}$ | 94.52(6) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{O} 2^{\# 3}$ | 92.78(1) | O1-C7-C8 | 114.3(3) |
| O1-Mn1-N1 | 90.24(6) | O2-C7-O1 | 130.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{N} 2^{\# 4}$ | 89.76(6) | O2-C7-C8 | 115.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1^{\# 1}-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{O} 2^{\# 2}$ | 92.78(1) | C7-O1-Mn1 | 146.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1^{\# 1}-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{O} 2^{\# 3}$ | 87.26(1) | C7-O2-Mn1 ${ }^{\text {\#3 }}$ | 144.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ol}^{\# 1}-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 90.24(6) | C6-N2-C6 ${ }^{\text {11 }}$ | 117.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1^{\# 1}-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{N} 2^{\# 4}$ | 89.76(6) | C6-N2-Mn1 ${ }^{\text {5 }}$ | 121.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2^{\# 2}-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{O} 2^{\# 3}$ | 171.0(1) | $\mathrm{C6}^{\# 1}-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Mn} 1^{\# 5}$ | 121.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2{ }^{\# 2}-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 85.48(6) | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{N} 2^{\# 4}$ | 180 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2^{\# 2}-\mathrm{Mn} 1-\mathrm{N} 2^{\# 4}$ | 94.52(6) |  |  |
| Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: ${ }^{\# 1} 1-$ $x, y, 1 / 2-z ;{ }^{\# 2} x, 1-y, z+1 / 2 ;{ }^{\# 3} 1-x, 1-y,-z ;{ }^{\# 4} x, y-1, z ;{ }^{\# 5} x$,$1+y, z$. |  |  |  |

slow evaporation at r.t. Blue single crystals of complex 2 were obtained after a period of 40 d (yield $90 \%$ based on initial $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ input). $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClCu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ (691.98): calcd. C 38.19, H $3.06, \mathrm{~N} 12.14$; found C 38.22 , H 3.08, N 12.12. - IR: $v=3494 \mathrm{~m}, 3415 \mathrm{~m}, 3107 \mathrm{~m}$, $3063 \mathrm{~m}, 1597 \mathrm{~s}, 1497 \mathrm{~m}, 1441 \mathrm{~m}, 1383 \mathrm{vs}, 1315 \mathrm{~m}, 1261 \mathrm{~m}$, $1164 \mathrm{~m}, 1107 \mathrm{~m}, 1025 \mathrm{~m}, 775 \mathrm{~m}, 732 \mathrm{~m}, 695 \mathrm{~m}, 661 \mathrm{~m}, 497 \mathrm{~m}$, $461 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## X-Ray structural analyses

Diffraction experiments were carried out at r.t. on a Bruker Smart CCD diffractometer with graphitemonochromated $\mathrm{Mo} K_{\alpha}$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) for cell determination and subsequent data collection. SHELXS/L-97 programs $[21,22]$ were used for structure solution and refinement. The structure of complex 1 was solved by Direct Methods, while that of $\mathbf{2}$ was solved by Patterson Methods. The hydrogen atoms associated with carbon atoms were generated geometrically, whereas the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$hydrogen atoms were located from the difference Fourier syntheses. All non-hydrogen atoms were finally refined with anisotropic displacement parameters by full-matrix least-squares techniques, and hydrogen atoms with isotropic displacement parameters. The relevant crystal and refinement data and the experimental conditions are summarized in Table 1. Selected interatomic distances and bond angles are given in Tables 2 and 3.

CCDC 649429 (1) and 649430 (2) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallo-

Table 3. Selected interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ) and bond angles (deg) for 2.

| Cu1-O1 | 1.932(3) | Cu2-O2 |  | 1.944(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cu1-O3 | 1.965(3) | $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 4$ |  | 2.427(3) |
| Cu1-O4 | 2.318 (3) | Cu2-N3 |  | 2.000(3) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 1.992(3) | $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{N} 4$ |  | 2.003(3) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 2.000 (3) | O2-C8 |  | 1.249(4) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 1.918(3) | O3-C8 |  | 1.248(4) |
| O1-Cu1-O3 | 95.48(1) |  | -N3 | 162.1(1) |
| O1-Cu1-O4 | 80.81(1) | O2 | -N4 | 88.40(1) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 94.66(1) | O3 | -O4 | 94.08(1) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 173.1(1) | O3 | -N1 | 163.5(1) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 93.26(1) | N1- | -O4 | 100.4(1) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 78.26(1) | N2 | -O4 | 105.1(1) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 96.95(1) | Cu 1 | - Cu 2 | 79.90(1) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{N} 4$ | 177.7(1) | Cu 2 | -Cu1 | 104.7(1) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 101.6(1) |  |  |  |
| Hydrogen bonds |  |  |  |  |
| D-H... | D-H | H $\cdots$ A | D $\cdots$ A | D-H... |
| O1-H1A $\cdots 5^{\# 1}$ | 0.90 | 2.01 | 2.896 (4) | 170.3 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 9^{\# 2}$ | 0.90 | 2.17 | 2.967 (1) | 147.5 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 10^{\# 2}$ | 0.90 | 2.46 | 3.266(1) | 150.6 |
| O4-H4B $\cdots$ O5 | 0.90 | 1.80 | 2.699(4) | 175.5 |
| C1-H1 $\cdots$ O 3 | 0.93 | 2.37 | 2.872(4) | 113.4 |
| C10-H10 $\cdots$ O1 | 0.93 | 2.54 | $3.050(5)$ | 115.0 |
| C20-H20 $\cdots$ O2 | 0.93 | 2.39 | 2.883(5) | 113.0 |



Fig. 1. The octahedrally coordinated $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ atoms linked by $4,4^{\prime}$-bpy and carboxylate ligands into 2D sheets in the crystal structure of 1.
graphic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/ cif.


Fig. 2. ORTEP view of the molecular structure of 2 and atom labelling scheme (displacement ellipsoids drawn at $40 \%$ probability).


Fig. 3. 1D supramolecular chain along the [100] direction linked by $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds in the crystal structure of 2 .

## Results and Discussion

IR spectra
The infrared spectrum of compound 1 shows the aromatic $v(=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ stretching vibration peaks at 3063 and $3007 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The absorption at $1602 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ should be assigned to $v_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}\right)$, and the absorption peaks centered at 1378 and $1354 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $v_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}\right)$stretching vibrations. The $4,4^{\prime}$-bpy-based absorption peaks reside at $1530,828,726,681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Fig. 4. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) diagrams for compounds 1 (top) and 2 (bottom).

The infrared spectrum of compound 2 shows $v(\mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{H})$ vibrations of a coordinated water molecule and an $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$group at 3494 and $3415 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and the aromatic $v(=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ stretching vibration peaks at 3107 and $3063 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The absorption at $1597 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ should be assigned to $V_{\text {as }}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}\right)$and the strong absorption peak at $1383 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $v_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right)$stretching vibrations. The $2,2^{\prime}$-bpy-based absorption peaks reside at 836,775 , 732 , and $695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Compared with the bands of carboxylic acid molecules, the $v_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}\right)$stretching vibration peaks of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are significantly blue-shifted indicating the coordination interactions.

## Structure descriptions

$\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCOO}\right)_{2}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\right.$ bpy $)(1)$
Complex 1 crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group Pbcn and feactures a two-dimensional metal-
organic framework with the $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ atoms bridged by trichloroacetate and 4,4'-bipyridine ligands (Fig. 1). The six-coordinated Mn atom is surrounded by two nitrogen atoms of two 4,4'-bpy ligands and four oxygen atoms of four carboxylate ligands in a distorted octahedral geometry. The trichloroacetate ligands act as bridges linking the Mn atoms to form zigzag chains along the [001] direction, which are further interlinked by $4,4^{\prime}$-bpy ligands into 2 D sheets parallel to (101). The 2D framework displays $(4,4)$ topology with the Schläfli symbol $4^{4} .6^{2}$ and the vertex symbol or long symbol of 4.4.4.4.62.62 [23-25].

The Mn-O mean distance (2.165(3) $\AA$ ) is shorter than the $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{N}$ mean distance (2.277(4) $\AA$ ). The cis bond angles at the central Mn atom fall in the range of $85.5-94.5^{\circ}$, and the trans bond angle $\angle \mathrm{O} 2^{\# 2}-\mathrm{Mn} 1-$ $\mathrm{O} 2^{\# 3}$ is $171.0^{\circ}$ (Table 2), suggesting a significant deviation from a perfect octahedral coordination.
$\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right)\left(2,2^{\prime}-\text { bpy }\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(2)$
Complex 2 crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P \overline{1}$, with binuclear $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right)\left(2,2^{\prime} \text {-bpy }\right)_{2}\right.$ -$\left.\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{OH}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{2+}$ complex cations and nitrate anions. Each of the binuclear cations consists of two edge-sharing distorted square-pyramidally coordinated units, featuring one chloroacetate anionic ligand, one water molecule, one hydroxyl anion, and two $2,2^{\prime}$ bpy molecules as ligands for $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$. The chloroacetate ligand adopts a bidentately bridging syn-syn coordination mode, with oxygen atoms linking two Cu (II) atoms; both the water molecule and the hydroxyl group also act as $\mu_{2}$-bridges (Fig. 2). The coordinated water molecule resides on the capping positions of the two square-pyramids, with the bond lengths $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 4=2.32(4)$ and $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 4=2.43(4) \AA$ being longer than the other $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths (Table 3), which may be due to the Jahn-Teller elongation effect [26].

Hydrogen bonds stabilize the structure. The hydroxyl group and the carboxylate oxygen atoms accept hydrogen atoms from $2,2^{\prime}$-bpy ligands to generate intramolecular interactions (Fig. 2). Along the [100] direction, the complex molecules are interlinked by intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds into chains, via the coordinated water molecule and the hydroxyl group which donate hydrogen atoms (H4B and H1A) to nitrate oxygen atoms (Fig. 3). The chains are held together by $\pi-\pi$ interactions to form 2D sheets parallel to $(021)$. The resultant sheets are further stabilized by intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions into a 3D supramolecular network, where the coordinated water molecules contribute hydrogen atoms ( H 4 A ) to nitrate oxygen atoms ( O 9 and O 10 ) (Table 3).

## Thermal behavior

The DSC curve of $\mathbf{1}$ exhibits one exothermic peak centered at $234^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and three endothermic ones at 308,490 , and $579{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) (Fig. 4) reveals that $\mathbf{1}$ possesses high thermal stability. There is no weight loss from r.t. to $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The observed weight loss of $46.3 \%$ at $400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is in good agreement with the calculated value of $47.0 \%$ for release of one mole of $4,4^{\prime}$-bpy and two moles of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ per formula unit. Upon further heating over the temperature range $400-600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the TG curve indicates a weight loss of $25.7 \%$,


Fig. 5. Experimental and simulated XRD patterns for compounds 1 and 2.
which agrees well with the calculated value of $26.5 \%$ for release of two moles of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ per formula unit. The residue of $28.2 \%$ at $600{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{C}$ (calcd. $28.0 \%$ ).

The DSC curve of 2 shows one exothermic peak centered at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and three endothermic peaks centered at 197,251 and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 4). The observed weight loss of $3.0 \%$ at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is close to the calculated value of $2.6 \%$ for release of one $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ per formula unit. Over $180-210{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, weight loss of $10.2 \%$ corresponds well to the calculated value of $11.3 \%$ for release of one $2,2^{\prime}$-bpy ligand per two formula units. Upon heat-
ing up to $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the sample loses weight of $32.7 \%$, which is close to the calculated value of $33.8 \%$ for the release of the other $2,2^{\prime}$-bpy ligand. After experiencing a plateau, upon further heating over the temperature range $400-600{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the TG curve indicates an additional weight loss of $35.9 \%$ in accordance with leaving a residue of $18.3 \%$ at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ identified as Cu powder (calcd. $18.4 \%$ ).

XRD patterns of 1 and 2
X-Ray powder diffraction (XRD) data of complexes 1 and 2 were collected with $\mathrm{CuK} a$ radiation ( $\lambda=$ $1.5418 \AA$ ). Both experimental XRD patterns agreed well with the simulated ones generated on the basis of the single crystal analyses for the title compounds, suggesting the phase purity of the products. The differences in intensity may be due to the preferred orientation of the powder samples (Fig. 5).
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