The Separation of Fe from Ga to Produce Ultrapure $^{67}$Ga
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The production of $^{67}$Ga at iThemba LABS is performed by the proton bombardment of a tandem natZn/natZn target. $^{67}$Ga is separated from the target material using a method based on target dissolution, in acidic media, and dual chromatographic methods on Amberchrom CG-161M. The result is a product with a high radionuclidic purity $^{67}$Ga having such a low Fe content in the final product that it may be used in the labelling of peptides.
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Introduction

$^{67}$Ga ($t_{1/2} = 78.3$ h), which is produced in a cyclotron and decays to stable $^{67}$Zn, is extensively used in nuclear medicine [1]. Its decay emissions have been identified as $\gamma$ rays of 93.3 keV (37 % abundance), 184.6 keV (20.4 % abundance) and 300.2 keV (16.6 % abundance). It is usually separated from Zn by means of ion exchange chromatography [2, 3] or by liquid extraction [2, 4]. The product is predominantly supplied in the citrate form and used for imaging soft tissue tumours and abscesses.

When in citrate form, $^{67}$Ga is known to concentrate in many types of tumours, as well as non-malignant lesions. Although it is not a tumour-specific agent [5], it is used extensively for the localisation of a variety of human malignant tumours [6, 7] and, due to its widespread application as a diagnostic tool in nuclear medicine, $^{67}$Ga is one of the most widely employed cyclotron-produced radiopharmaceuticals.

A number of routes for the production of $^{67}$Ga in large quantities, and their development into medical applications, have been reported [8 – 13]. Several methods have been performed to separate $^{67}$Ga from its target material by different ion exchange methods [14 – 18] and to use the product in citrate form for medical applications.

The current production method used at iThemba LABS involves the bombardment of two natZn targets, in tandem, with the use of a 66 MeV proton beam provided by the facility’s separated sector cyclotron. The bombarded targets are dissolved in hydrochloric acid and the resultant solution passed through a column containing Amberchrom CG-71cd resin. Any impurities contained on the resin are eluted, before the $^{67}$Ga is eluted as the final product.

While the final product is deemed suitable for use in diagnostic nuclear medicine, the product has failed to label certain peptides efficiently. The theory is that certain $^{67}$Ga-labelled peptides may be effective for therapeutic purposes, thus, it was decided to investigate the possibility of producing an ultrapure product, such that this theory can be tested.

While there have been descriptions of Ga and Fe separation from other elements [19 – 21] in the literature, they do not adequately describe how Fe and Ga can be separated easily, as Fe(III) and Ga(III) have very similar chemical properties. It was thought prudent to adapt the method currently used for production purposes at iThemba LABS.

Experimental Section

Analytical grade reagents were used throughout this work and were obtained from Merck (SA) Pty. Ltd or Sigma Aldrich GmbH, which included Sigma, Aldrich, Fluka and Riedel de Haen products. The Chelex 100 chelating resin used in this work was obtained from BioRad Laboratories, Richmond, U.S.A., while the Amberchrom CG-161M resin was obtained from Rohm and Haas Company, Philadelphia, U.S.A.
Wherever water is referred to in the experimental descriptions, de-ionised water was used. This was obtained by de-ionising tap water using a Millipore MilliQ Reagent Grade Water System to a conductivity of greater than 10 MΩ cm⁻¹.

All radioactive determinations were performed using a standard calibrated HPGe detector, with a relative efficiency of 8 % (relative to three inch NaI), connected to a multi-channel analyser. All Fe and Zn determinations were performed using a Varian graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrophotometer.

A good reducing agent is necessary to perform the experiments successfully. Comparisons were made using TiCl₃, SnCl₂ and ascorbic acid using different conditions. While TiCl₃ is a strong reducing agent, it contains traces of Fe when provided in 1 M HCl solution. As a result, the compound had to be purified, by means of cation exchange chromatography, prior to use.

Suprapur hydrochloric acid, which was used to perform the purification experiments with ⁶⁷Ga, was provided by Merck (SA) Pty. Ltd.

### Reducing Fe(III) with ascorbic acid

⁶⁷Ga tracer was added to a 10 mL solution of 0.01 M ascorbic acid containing 100 µg Fe. This solution was passed through a 2.5 mL column containing Chelex 100 resin. Fe was eluted using 50 mL of 0.01 M ascorbic acid, and the ascorbic acid was rinsed from the resin using 20 mL of water, before ⁶⁷Ga was eluted with 25 mL 2 M HCl.

### Reducing Fe(III) with SnCl₂

⁶⁷Ga tracer was added to 30 mL of a 0.1 M HCl solution containing 100 µg Fe and 2 mL SnCl₂ (10 mg in 10 mL of 1.0 M HCl) and heated to 60 °C, before an additional 35 mL of concentrated HCl was added to the solution. The resultant mixture was passed through a 2.5 ml column containing Amberchrom CG-161M resin. The resin was rinsed with 100 mL 6 M HCl (to remove traces of Fe and Ti), before the ⁶⁷Ga was eluted with 30 mL of 0.1 M HCl, by collecting 5 mL fractions of the final eluant.

### Results and Discussion

The experiments, using ascorbic acid as a reducing agent, proved to be successful to a degree. Initial runs saw 93 % of the ⁶⁷Ga removed in the first 10 mL of eluant, with an 88.3 % removal of Fe. The subsequent runs, however, proved to be less successful, with much of the Fe appearing in the first aliquot of eluant fractions. It was determined that this could be due to the pump speed used for the experiments being too high. Even when the speed was decreased, however, the results did not improve much and this method was discarded.

The initial experiments involving SnCl₂ proved to be unsuccessful, as no heat was involved in the experimental process. While the yield was impressive, 10 µg mL⁻¹ of Fe was found in the final product, a quantity deemed inappropriate to regard the experiment as successful. According to distribution coefficients obtained by Naidoo [22], Ga(III) is better retained by Amberchrom CG-161Mcd (with a polystyrene/divinylbenzene matrix) than when using Amberchrom CG-71cd (with an acrylic ester matrix) as resin when the concentration of HCl increases. It was for this reason that most of the experiments performed on Amberchrom products were done using the CG-161 product, instead of the CG-71.

Subsequent experiments were performed by heating the solution to 60 °C before the addition of the concentrated HCl. When using Amberchrom CG-161M, the results were found to be far more satisfactory, with 99 % removal of Fe and virtually all the ⁶⁷Ga found in the first 10 mL of eluant (see Fig. 1). The same could not be said when using Amberchrom CG-71cd: the Fe removal from the final product decreased to 70 %, while 20 mL of eluant was required to quantitively remove the ⁶⁷Ga from the resin (see Fig. 2).
It was decided to perform a comparison between SnCl₂ and purified TiCl₃ as reducing agents. The results of the experiments using purified TiCl₃ as a reducing agent with Amberchrom CG-161M resin also provided very promising results. No heat was required upon adding the reducing agent and the final product (⁶⁷Ga) was yielded in the first 10 mL of eluant, while removing more than 99% of the Fe added. With the results obtained from the comparison experiments, it was decided to take it a step further and perform a direct comparison under production simulation mode, that is, use a similar method as currently used in production and add the experimental method to it. This implies that a double column separation was performed.

The experiment was, thus, conducted as follows: two pressed Zn targets, weighing ca. 9.46 g, were dissolved in 60 mL of 32% HCl (as used for routine production). Once complete dissolution was obtained a further 60 mL of 32% HCl, containing 3 mL purified TiCl₃ solution, was added to the solution. The resultant mixture was passed through a column containing 2.5 mL Amberchrom CG-161M resin (100–200 mesh particle size and equilibrated with 7 M HCl). 150 mL of 7 M HCl was passed through the resin column to elute the impurities such as target material and traces of Fe, before the ⁶⁷Ga was eluted with 30 mL of 0.1 M Suprapur HCl. Each experiment was then conducted further according to the method described above.

Samples were taken from the eluate of the first column (30 mL of 0.1 M HCl) and that of the second column, which was also the final product, and compared (see Table 1). As can be seen, the Fe and Zn contents in the first sample differ vastly between the experiments. This is due to the fact that no two Zn targets can have the same make up, thus, it was regarded as more prudent to take the percentage removal of the impurity in question into account. As the percentage of Fe removals using the two different reducing agents were so similar, it was thought that a more definite decision could be made with regard to the most effective reducing agent should one take the Zn content in each product into account. This too, however, produced similar results.

It was finally decided that the most effective removal of impurities would be with the use of purified TiCl₃ as reducing agent, as the Fe removal is marginally better than when SnCl₂ was used as reducing agent, even though its removal of Zn is marginally less effective. Its ease of use in a hot cell environment, without requiring heat, was also a deciding factor in choosing TiCl₃ over SnCl₂ as reducing agent. Nevertheless, the use of both reducing agents with Amberchrom CG-161M resin produces a product that has vastly fewer impurities than the current production method used, making this a product that can be regarded as ultrapure.

**Conclusion**

Two alternate methods were tested and determined to be effective in the removal of Fe, as well as Zn, from ⁶⁷Ga. When using either SnCl₂ or purified TiCl₃ as a reducing agent in the process and applying an Amberchrom CG-161M resin column instead of an Amberchrom CG-71cd resin column, the results produced are excellent, with a removal of > 99% of Fe and > 99.9% of Zn from the final product.

While these methods were successful under the production conditions of 30 mCi ⁶⁷Ga, further tests using much higher activities of ⁶⁷Ga will be performed in the near future.