

# A Comparative Time Differential Perturbed Angular Correlation Study of the Nuclear Quadrupole Interaction in $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot \text{HF} \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ Using $^{180\text{m}}\text{Hf}$ and $^{181}\text{Hf}(\beta^-)^{181}\text{Ta}$ as Nuclear Probes: Is Ta an Innocent Spy?

Tilman Butz<sup>a</sup>, Satyendra K. Das<sup>a,b</sup>, and Yuriy Manzhur<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Universität Leipzig, Fakultät für Physik und Geowissenschaften,  
Institut für Experimentelle Physik II, Linnéstraße 5, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Permanent address: Radiochemistry Laboratory, Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre,  
Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Kolkata 700064, India

Reprint requests to T. B.; E-mail: butz@physik.uni-leipzig.de

Z. Naturforsch. **64a**, 103 – 111 (2009); received May 13, 2008

We report on a comparative study of the nuclear quadrupole interaction of the nuclear probes  $^{180\text{m}}\text{Hf}$  and  $^{181}\text{Hf}(\beta^-)^{181}\text{Ta}$  in  $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot \text{HF} \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  using time differential perturbed angular correlations (TDPAC) at 300 K. For the first probe, assuming a Lorentzian frequency distribution, we obtained  $\omega_Q = 103(4)$  Mrad/s, an asymmetry parameter  $\eta = 0.68(3)$ , a linewidth  $\delta = 7.3(3.9)\%$ , and full anisotropy within experimental accuracy. For the second probe, assuming a Lorentzian frequency distribution, we obtained three fractions: (1) with 56.5(7)%,  $\omega_Q = 126.64(4)$  Mrad/s and  $\eta = 0.9241(4)$  with a rather small distribution  $\delta = 0.40(8)\%$  which is attributed to  $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot \text{HF} \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; (2) with 4.6(4)%,  $\omega_Q = 161.7(3)$  Mrad/s and  $\eta = 0.761(4)$  assuming no line broadening which is tentatively attributed to a small admixture of  $\text{Hf}_2\text{OF}_6 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; (3) the remainder of 39.0(7)% accounts for a rapid loss of anisotropy and is modelled by a perturbation function with a sharp frequency multiplied by an exponential factor  $\exp(-\lambda t)$  with  $\lambda = 0.55(2) \text{ ns}^{-1}$ . Whereas the small admixture of  $\text{Hf}_2\text{OF}_6 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  escapes detection by the  $^{180\text{m}}\text{Hf}$  probe, there is no rapid loss of roughly half the anisotropy as is the case with  $^{181}\text{Hf}(\beta^-)^{181}\text{Ta}$ . This loss could in principle be due to fluctuating electric field gradients originating from movements of nearest neighbour HF adducts and/or  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules after nuclear transmutation to the foreign atom Ta which are absent for the isomeric probe. Alternatively, paramagnetic Ta ions could lead to fluctuating magnetic dipole fields which, when combined with fluctuating electric field gradients, could also lead to a rapid loss of anisotropy. In any case, Ta is not an “innocent spy” in this compound.

Although  $^{180\text{m}}\text{Hf}$  is not a convenient probe for conventional spectrometers, the use of fast digitizers and software coincidences would allow to use all  $\gamma$ -quanta in the stretched cascade which would greatly improve the efficiency of the spectrometer.  $^{180\text{m}}\text{Hf}$  could also serve as a Pu analogue in toxicity studies.

**Key words:** TDPAC; Nuclear Quadrupole Interaction;  $^{180\text{m}}\text{Hf}$  vs.  $^{181}\text{Hf}(\beta^-)^{181}\text{Ta}$ .

**PACS numbers:** 76.80.+y, 61.66.Fn, 61.72.-y